

TO: USPTO
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Group Director/Art Unit 1761
Examiner S. Weinstein

In re Application of
Emanuel S. Kemeny
Serial No. 09/909,014
Meal Equivalent Food Bar
Filed 7/20/2001

RECEIVED
SEP 23 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

RESPONSE TO FIRST OFFICE ACTION ON THE MERITS
PAPER NO. 14, DATED 08/19/2002

PLEASE EXPEDITE -- THIS CASE MADE SPECIAL: PETITION ON AGE

Thank you

PART I. INTRODUCTION

1.0 This is a full response to the Examiner's careful and detailed action, appreciated and noted as follows: /

- (1) The election of species is withdrawn;
- (2) Claims 1-15, all the claims in the case are now active;
- (3) No art is applied against the claims -- Prior Art is cited as of interest;
- (4) Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35USC112, First Para, for unclear specification: SPECIFIC QUESTIONS ARE RAISED. ANSWERS IN FULL are entered below in Part II.
- (5) Amendments: The Examiner's suggestions are appreciated, and claims so amended:
In claims 1, 12: Inserted: "in an amount sufficient..."
In claims 1,12,14: "at least one different ingredient..."
In the Specification: spelling error "Oreo" is corrected.
Amendments are entered below in Part III.

PART II: ANSWERS IN FULL TO EXAMINER'S QUESTIONS.

PART III: AMENDMENTS

ATTACHMENT: Pertinent pages of Ref: *Fannie Farmer Cookbook*

CONCLUSION: Issues towards allowance appear in focus.